		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	09/675,943	ESSWEIN ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>80</u>	
(1) <u>Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D</u> .		(3)	
(2) Bernard Lau {Registry No. 38,218}.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 August 2004		Time: <u>2:33pm</u>	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 37-63 and 65-121			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to correct the status identifiers in claims 37-63 and 65-121 and also update the continuation data by adding the patent number of the parent application.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
P P SI M			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriato)
(F	wpiioaii0/	Abusair a Mebieselitative Ol	mature – ii appropriate)